

User experience on mobile networks

Botswana February 2021







About the data

Total number of samples: 31,550 Total number of unique devices: 10,473 Data collection period: 2 February - 26 February 2021

About the report

Because SpeedChecker aims to benchmark operators on the user experience the metrics in this report are designed with this in mind. Users accessing the services on the Internet are affected not only by the quality of the radio access network but also by other factors such as the mobile device performance, network backhaul capacity and interconnections to other networks.

Our <u>methodology</u> is designed to take into account all of those factors. Our metrics do not show the highest possible speeds or the lowest latencies that a particular operator can provide locally. The majority of the content accessed on the Internet is on CDNs and that is why SpeedChecker uses CDNs to perform the tests. Operators who have great radio access network as well as great connections to CDNs offer superior user experience and score better in our reports.



MNO speed benchmark

The following table shows average download and upload speeds per MNO. The measurements were done across the whole country and across the whole spectrum of available Radio Access Technologies (3G, 4G, 5G if available).



BTC 5,846 samples, Mascom 11,410 samples, Orange 14,239 samples



MNO 4G and 3G download speed benchmark

The following table shows average download speed per MNO and particular RAT.



BTC 2,126 samples, Mascom 4,254 samples, Orange 5,310 samples



MNO latency benchmark

As described in our <u>data collection methodology</u>, latency is measured to the CDN endpoints. Operators who interconnect with CDNs well - tend to offer better user experience in latency-sensitive applications as well as score well in our latency comparison.

BTC		95% Confidence interval
Latency (ms)	83.59	+/- 1.42
Mascom		
Latency (ms)	93.31	+/- 1.23
Orange		
Latency (ms)	86.17	- +/- 0.96

BTC 5,846 samples, Mascom 11,410 samples, Orange 14,239 samples

MNO 4G Availability benchmark

Our 4G availability metrics correspond to the % of the tests done on 4G vs 3G. This metric does not represent 4G coverage.

BTC	
4G availability (%)	85.71
Mascom	
4G availability (%)	89.20
Orange	
4G availability (%)	95.77



Regional comparison of MNO download speed performance



BTC

Mascom



Orange



Avg. Download mbit/s
01-3
0 3 - 5
0 5 - 8
🔵 8 - 10
🔴 10 - 15
🛑 15 - 20
0 20 - 30
0 30 - 50
L



The following table shows the average download speeds in different regions of Botswana. The 2nd column is an average of all MNOs in a particular region.

14.879 Francistown 23.876 16.21 8.511 2057 Southern 15.697 24.529 6.398 18.556 1574 Kgatleng 14.207 11.66 5.984 21.424 1470 Chobe 13.473 17.394 5.849 18.82 354 Selibe Phikwe 8.743 19.881 13.427 3.496 413 Gaborone 13.179 8.417 8.289 21.355 12243 Sowa 13.162 13.162 19 South-East 10.146 5.28 19.219 1186 13.103 Lobatse 12.702 17.504 426 10.081 4.547 Jwaneng 12.417 4.443 5.886 19.366 433 Kweneng 12.01 12.289 4.858 15.661 2975 Central 17.707 16.341 4785 11.925 6.185 Ghanzi 11.863 9.717 5.492 14.46 525 Kgalagadi 11.597 5.347 6.553 15.722 462 North-East 11.339 10.527 7.396 14.876 795 North-West 10.427 6.598 12.634 1777 15.091

The fastest regions are at the top of the table.



MNO download speed performance in Gaborone



Mascom



Orange





Download speed African benchmark

Download speed (Mb/s)

— 95% Confidence Interval

Botswana	12.98	+/- 0.2
South Africa	10.93	+/- 0.1
Senegal	9.56	 +/- 0.1
Egypt	9.48	 +/- 0.1
Тодо	9.39	- +/- 0.1
Burkina Faso	9.35	 +/- 0.1
Ethiopia	8.75	■ +/- 0.1
Ghana	7.64	+/- 0.1

4G availability African benchmark

4G availability (%)

South Africa	94.79
Egypt	94.57
Senegal	91.95
Botswana	91.65
Ethiopia	89.31
Burkina Faso	87.78
Ghana	83.33
Тодо	67.39



ARE YOU LOOKING FOR MORE DETAILED CROWDSOURCED DATA IN BOTSWANA?

What you see in this free report is a high-level snapshot of the crowdsourced data we offer to our clients. Our crowdsourcing system contains billions of data points collected from mobile devices worldwide. Unlike our competitors, we can sell access to the data with different granularity: Our clients can pick data they need with significant cost savings associated with a reduced scope.

CONTACT US FOR MORE INFORMATION





Flexibility is in our DNA

Our customers value our flexible and modular approach in delivering our solutions. There is no one size fits all in providing crowdsourcing projects. Customers increasingly require tailored solutions which will satisfy all technical, operational and legal requirements.

(s

With reduced scope comes reduced price. Our Basic KPI set is a more cost effective way to get speed test data. Our Advanced KPI set is more comprehensive with 100+ active and passive KPIs.

- Crowdsourcing is about trade-offs. Do you want more tests or do you want tests to run longer ? Do you want to collect data passively without impacting the network and user bandwidth or run active tests which will stress and assess the capacity better?
- Do you want us to host the solution for fast & easy deployment or require data to be within your data center for compliance reasons?
 - You not only want the data but you also want your own app or web-based tester? We can do it all. Our team can produce iOS, Android, HTML, Windows and MAC clients tailored to your specific needs.
- CONTACT US FOR MORE INFORMATION



Basic Crowdsourcing System

Our Basic CrowdSourcing System offers full analytical options like our Advanced system but with the limitation of a smaller Basic KPI set. Basic KPIs include speed test data along with device and network information but do not contain detailed Radio KPIs nor passive measurements.





Step up from our Basic system to our Advanced Crowdsourcing system with more than 100+ KPIs to analyse

Coverage Analysis Dashboard

- Statistical research on basis of millions of crowdsourced samples
- ✓ Multiple signal metrics RSRP, RSRQ, SNR, RSSI, CQI
- Split by MNO, Radio Access Type, Band (down to individual ARFCN)
- Possibility to filter by: speed (e.g. High-Speed Train Scenarios)
- Possibility to separate samples between Indoor/Outdoor





Frequency Bands Dashboard

The Frequency Bands Dashboard reveals frequency usage for different areas and comparison between operators. It is grouped by RAT, band number and ARFCN.

ARFCN Ta	able				
RAT ≑	Band Number ≑	Band Name ≑	Duplex Mode 🖨	ARFCN 🚽	Count ≑
	41		TDD	41,514	3,284
		TD 2600+		41,364	3,901
				40,490	8,994
				40,290	13,970
		TR 0.000		38,144	2,453
	38 TD 2600	TD 2600		38,000	2,133
				37,850	3,715
4G		1800+		1,850	183,570
	3			1,600	266,972
				1,483	7,073
				1,350	136,688
	20	800 DD		6,400	55,822
				6,300	46,235
				6,200	30,209
				450	23,846
	1	2100	FDD	225	97,750
	8	900 GSM		3,007	66,109
				2,986	61,824
				2,938	43,350
3G				10,812	53,440
50				10,738	46,784
	1	2100			
				10,713	47,228
				10,563	41,188
om/s/toster				1,016	1,191



Cellular Data Usage Dashboard

The Cellular Data Usage dashboard shows the locations with the highest traffic demands to suggest to the operators which areas would benefit from additional investment or where network expansion might be required.





User Density Map

The User Density Map shows the locations with the highest subscriber density to suggest to the operators the areas where additional investments into network expansion might be required.





Video Experience dashboard

Streaming video apps such as YouTube or Netflix are being increasingly used by consumers who expect the best video quality with low start-up time and no rebufferings. Our data can contain metrics such as video download speed, streaming bit rate, start-up time and more.

outube by ISP					Youtube	by Network Ty	ре		
ISP ≑	# of tests ≑	Start time [ms] ≑	Rebuffer rate [#] ≑	Speed [Mb/s] ≑	ISP ≑	# of tests ≑	Start time [ms] 🗘	Rebuffer rate [#] 騿	Speed [Mb/s] 🗘
Operator 1	16,370	3014	0.156	41.65	WIFI	26,946	3070	0.199	38.184
Operator 2	14,433	3208	0.237	30.309	4G	8,971	3177	0.178	32.701
Operator 3	7,024	3009	0.183	31.67	3G	2,609	3104	0.167	16.128
					5G	4	1564	0	38.33
	Formatted 🛓					Raw 🕹 Format			
100% - 80% - 60% - 20% -	020-07-01 2020-0	18-01 2020-09-01 2020-10 @timestamp per day		large hd720 medium tiny small hd1080		n (5.56%)		e large (88.3%)	 large medium tiny small hd720 hd1080
	18.	[.].].							



Device Information Dashboard

The Device Information dashboard contains information about devices that are in use for each operator as well as all the performance KPIs. Specific relevant device KPIs are:

- ✓ Model name
- ✓ Manufacturer
- ✓ OS version
- ✓ Platform (iOS/Android)
- ✓ Top 5G / 4G / Wi-fi smartphones





Voice Quality Dashboard

The Voice Quality dashboard shows the user issues visualized on a map to spot any areas where users are making calls and their quality is not satisfactory or where calls are being dropped. In the example below we see two areas where the call drop is particularly high and may benefit from further investigation.





Data collection methodology

Our data is collected from end user devices running Android and iOS systems. All measurements are executed towards a CDN which has a large geographical footprint and hosts a significant part of the content that is being accessed by the users. This ensures our results are a good approximation of the user's actual quality of experience.

All measurements must contain accurate location information using GPS or wi-fi geolocation method. Measurements are considered only from the apps that have been approved by SpeedChecker. Submitted measurements are checked if they are within expected ranges and additional security precautions are implemented to ensure measurement data is not being manipulated.

The data collection process aims to deliver a single measurement sample from every device in our crowdsourcing system device pool and we strive to remove all duplicates. Due to privacy settings on some users phones we cannot reliably detect unique devices therefore some devices have contributed to more than 1 measurement into this dataset.

CONTACT US FOR MORE INFORMATION



Measurement methodology

The methodology is based on the concept of the <u>ITU-T</u> <u>Q.3960 (2016)</u>, "Framework of Internet related performance measurements" and "Supplement 71 to ITU-T Q-series Recommendations".

This test methodology aims at delivering an accurate measurement of the maximum bandwidth available over a given internet connection. This is achieved by transferring multiple parallel data streams over separate TCP connections within a predefined amount of time. The transferred data consists of randomly generated data with high entropy.

#	Parameter	Unit	ITU Range	Current Setting
1	Number of parallel threads	#	1 ≤ n ≤ 10	Dynamic addition from 1 to 10
2	Duration of pre-test	S	0 ≤ Tp ≤ 5	1s
3	Duration of the downlink test	S	5 ≤ Td ≤ 15	5s
4	Duration of the uplink subtest	S	5 ≤ Tu ≤ 15	5s
5	Number of 'pings' during delay subtest	S	5 ≤ p ≤ 20	p = 10



CONTACT US FOR MORE INFORMATION